

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

WAYNE THOMAS,)	
an individual,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No.: 4:18-cv-03455
v.)	
)	
PROSPERITY GLOBAL INVESTMENT)	
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,)	
a Texas Limited Partnership,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, WAYNE THOMAS, through his undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint and sues PROSPERITY GLOBAL INVESTMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Texas Limited Partnership, for injunctive relief, attorneys’ fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*, (“AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT” or “ADA”) and alleges:

JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, *et seq.*, (the “ADA”). This Court is vested with original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the subject matter of all other claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(a).

2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), because the Defendant’s Property, which is the subject of this action, is located in Harris County, Texas.

3. Plaintiff, WAYNE THOMAS (hereinafter referred to as “MR. THOMAS” or “Plaintiff”), is a resident of the State of Texas in Harris County.

4. MR. THOMAS is a qualified individual with a disability under the ADA. MR. THOMAS is paralyzed from the waist down. MR. THOMAS is a paraplegic and uses a wheelchair as his primary means of mobility.

5. Due to his disability, Plaintiff is substantially impaired in several major life activities, such as walking and standing, and requires a wheelchair for mobility.

6. Defendant PROSPERITY GLOBAL INVESTMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Texas Limited Partnership (hereinafter referred to as “Defendant”), is registered to do business in the State of Texas. Upon information and belief, Defendant is the owner, lessor and/or operator of the real property and improvements which are the subject of this action, to wit: the “Property,” known as Dairy Queen, generally located at 14515 Bellaire Blvd, Houston, Texas 77083.

7. All events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the Southern District of Texas.

COUNT I
(VIOLATION OF TITLE III OF THE ADA)

8. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates into this cause of action each and every allegation contained in the previous paragraphs of this Complaint.

9. The Property, a fast food restaurant, is open to the public and provides goods and services to the public.

10. Plaintiff visited the Property and attempted to utilize the goods and services offered at the Property and plans to return to the Property in the near future.

11. During his visit, MR. THOMAS experienced serious difficulty accessing the goods and utilizing the services therein due to the architectural barriers discussed in this Complaint.

12. MR. THOMAS continues to desire to visit the Property, but fears that he will again encounter serious difficulty and safety hazards due to the barriers discussed herein which still exist.

13. Defendant has discriminated, and continues to discriminate, against Plaintiff in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* and 28 C.F.R. § 36.302 *et seq.* by excluding and/or denying Plaintiff the benefits of the goods and services located on the Property by failing to provide and/or correct the following barriers to access which Plaintiff personally observed, encountered, and which hindered his access:

- A. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible parking designated for disabled use due to improper placement of ramp in access aisle and excessive lip;
- B. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible entrance due to lack of level landing.
- C. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible parking due to lack of signage designating spaces exclusively for disabled use;
- D. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible entrances due to the curb ramp in disrepair;
- E. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible curb ramps due to steep side flares and excessive slopes; and
- F. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible curb ramps due to lack of level landing.

14. Upon information and belief, there are other current violations of the ADA and the ADA Accessibility Guidelines (“ADAAG”) at the Property, and only after a full inspection is performed by the Plaintiff or Plaintiff’s representatives can all said violations be identified.

15. To date, the readily achievable barriers and other violations of the ADA still exist

and have not been remedied or altered in such a way as to effectuate compliance with the provisions of the ADA.

16. Independent of his intent to return as a patron to the Property, Plaintiff additionally intends to return to the Property as an ADA tester to determine whether the barriers to access stated herein have been remedied.

17. Removal of the barriers to access located on the Property is readily achievable, structurally feasible and easily accomplishable without placing an undue burden on Defendant.

18. Removal of the barriers to access located on the Property would allow Plaintiff to fully utilize the goods and services located therein.

19. The Plaintiff has been obligated to retain undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action. Plaintiff is entitled to have his reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses paid by Defendant.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant and requests the following injunctive and declaratory relief:

- A. That the Court declare that the Property owned, leased, and/or operated by Defendant is in violation of the ADA;
- B. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant to alter its facilities to make them accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA;
- C. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant to evaluate and neutralize its policies and procedures towards persons with disabilities for such

reasonable time so as to allow Defendant to undertake and complete corrective procedures;

- D. That the Court award reasonable attorneys' fees, costs (including expert fees), and other expenses of suit, to the Plaintiff; and
- E. That the Court award such other and further relief as it deems necessary, just and proper.

By: /s/ Louis I. Mussman
Louis I. Mussman
Attorney-in-charge
Florida Bar No. 597155
S.D. TX No. 2274288
Ku & Mussman, P.A.
18501 Pines Boulevard, Suite 209-A
Pembroke Pines, FL 33029
Tel: (305) 891-1322
Fax: (305) 891-4512
Louis@KuMussman.com

and

John K. Grubb
Local Counsel
State Bar No. 08553500
John K. Grubb & Associates
4550 Post Oak Place, Suite 201
Houston, TX 77027
Tel: (713) 877-8800
Fax: (713) 877-1229
johnkgrubb@grubblegal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff